## Babylonian and Egyptian geometry—a very brief overview

Ancient Babylonian and Egyptian mathematicians seem to have been concerned primarily with arithmetic calculations, and probably didn't regard geometry as a separate branch of mathematics. However, we do have a few extant examples of ancient geometry, mostly calculations of area and volume.

Here is an excerpt<sup>1</sup> from the Rhind Papyrus, an Egyptian mathematical text dated to approximately 1650 BCE (though the writer said it was actually a transcription of another document from 200 years before that).

Example of a round field of diameter 9 khet. What is its area? Take away 1/9 of the diameter, 1; the remainder is 8. Multiply 8 times 8; it makes 64. Therefore it contains 64 setat of land.

Here are some features of this excerpt that are characteristic of ancient Babylonian and Egyptian mathematics:

- It's pretty accurate. The relationship between area A and radius r is  $A = 64d^2/81 = (256/81)r^2$ , which is equivalent to approximating  $\pi \approx 256/81 = 3.16049...$  This is not bad at all, and would be perfectly fine for any applications the Egyptians used it for.
- Mathematics is described verbally instead of symbolically. In modern notation, we can rewrite the relationship between area A and diameter d given in the excerpt as

$$A = (d - d/9)^2 = \frac{64}{81}d^2$$

but the Egyptians lacked the notational tools to do this (to be fair, Western mathematics didn't come up with modern algebraic notation until the 1600s or so).<sup>2</sup>

- In these cultures, mathematics was concerned with solving <u>applied</u>, <u>practical</u> problems. Rather than talking about the area of a circle, the problem talks about a "round field". There is little, if any, geometric abstraction in extant Babylonian and Egyptian texts.
- We have no idea what a "khet" or a "setat" is, but we can infer it from context; one setat is presumably one square khet. In particular, they had units of measurement.
- The Babylonian and Egyptian writings tend not to include explanations (much less formal proofs). There's more focus on <u>how</u> to solve a problem (by following an algorithm) than <u>why</u> the given solution works.

**Question to ponder.** Imagine you are an ancient Babylonian. How might you have come up with this rule?

## More reading.

- MacTutor: Babylonian mathematics
- MacTutor: Egyptian mathematics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Taken from Stahl, chapter 2, p. 1

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ One wonders what elements of modern mathematics notation will become obsolete in a millennium or two.