Cycle and circuit chip-firing on graphs

Anton Dochtermann Texas State University

AMS Special Session on Algebraic, Geometric and Topological Combinatorics September 13, 2020

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Coauthors

- This work comes from a Mathworks project at Texas State University from summer 2019.
- Joint work with Eli Meyers, Raghav Samavedam, and Alex Yi (all high school students!)



Chip-firing on graphs

- The classical theory of *chip-firing* involves a simple game played on the vertices of a graph, with connections to
 - self-organized crticality in statistical physics.
 - underlying algebraic structure.
 - divisor theory in algebraic geometry.
- Recent books:
 - S. Corry and D. Perkinson, Divisors and Sandpiles: An Introduction to Chip-Firing, AMS, 2017.
 - C. Klivans, The Mathematics of Chip-Firing, CRC press, 2018.
- For us: Chip-firing on a graph G says something about *M*(G)*, the *dual* matroid of the underlying graphic matroid. What about *dual chip-firing*?

Chip-firing: The rules

Suppose G is a finite simple graph on vertex set $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ with specified root vertex 0, and edge set E.

- A configuration of chips is a vector $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.
- A vertex *i* can *fire* when $c_i \ge \deg(u)$.
- The vertex passes chips to each of its neighbors (one for each edge connecting it to i), resulting in a new configuration c'.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- A configuration is *stable* if no vertex can fire.
- The root can fire only when the configuration is stable, passing a chip to each of its neighbors.

Example



The critical group

- ► FACT: If G is connected all firings stabilize. Why?
- Study the dynamics what configurations do we see 'many times'?
- Given any configuration there is a unique 'recurrent' configuration that one can obtain via stabilization.
- The set of 'critical configurations' form an abelian group κ(G) called the 'critical group' of G.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Superstable configurations

We say c superstable if no set of nonroot vertices can fire simultaneously.



In the above graph, 202 is stable but not superstable. Superstable configurations are {000, 100, 010, 001, 200, 002, 101, 111}

- Set of superstable configurations are in a simple bijection with the set of crticial configurations.
- Superstable configurations have connections to G-parking functions, Tutte polynomials (Merino), h-vectors, etc.

Some linear algebra

The dynamics of chip-firing can be encoded in the (reduced) Laplacian of G, an n × n symmetric matrix that encodes G.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Recovers the critical group as

$$\kappa(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n / \operatorname{im} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(G)$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

As a corollary we see that |κ(G)| is given by det L(G) = the number of spanning trees of G.

Generalized chip-firing

Gabrielov/Dhar generalized this idea to abelian avalanche models.

• Consider an $n \times n$ redistribution matrix Δ where

$$\Delta_{ii} > 0$$
 for all $i; \ \Delta_{ij} \leq 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

- A vector h ∈ Zⁿ defines a configuration, and a site i can fire if h_i ≥ Δ_{ii}.
- ln this case replace \mathbf{h} with $\mathbf{h} \Delta^T \mathbf{e}_i$.
- Notions of stable configurations are similar.
- ► Not all matrices give good firing rules ∆ is said to be avalanche finite if any initial configuration and firing sequence will eventually terminate in a stable configuration.

Generalized chip-firing

Guzmán and Klivans have developed a theory of chip-firing for any avalanche finite redistribution matrix L.

- Two configurations f and g are *equivalent* if $g f \in imL$.
- A configuration is z-superstable if all entries are nonnegative and no multiset of sites can fire simultaneously.
- GK prove that every equivalence class contains a unique z-superstable configuration.
- In fact f is z-superstable if and only if f is the unique minimizer of

$$\min_{\mathbf{g}\sim \mathbf{f},\mathbf{g}\geq 0} E(\mathbf{g}),$$

where for any configuration f define its *energy* to be

$$E(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^T L \mathbf{f}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Back to graphs: planarity and dual chip-firing

► If G is a planar graph (with an embedding) what does chip-firing on the dual graph G* mean for G?



Critical groups agree [Corri-Rossin]! One can check that

$$\kappa(G^*) \cong \kappa(G)$$

 We now fire *circuits*, passing chips according to a rule determined by how these circuits intersect (depends on an *orientation*)

Generalizing to all graphs

- What happens if G is not planar? We no longer have a 'dual graph' but can we still perform 'dual chip-firing'?
- Yes! Think in terms of matrices and lattices (and use some intuition from matroids/Gale duality).
- The reduced Laplacian $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ can be computed as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial}^T$$

where $\tilde{\partial}$ is the (reduced) *incidence matrix* of the graph G.

- Think of classical chip firing on the set of *edges* incident to the nonroot vertices (often called *vertex cuts*).

Dualizing

- Classical chip-firing is determined by a (certain) integer basis for the row space of õ.
- ► For the *dual* picture we seek an integer basis for the *kernel* of ∂̃ (called the *lattice of integral flows* of G).

• For any such basis
$$\iota = (\mathbf{f}_1 \dots \mathbf{f}_g)$$
 let

$$\mathcal{L}^*(G) = \iota^T \iota$$

denote the *dual Laplacian* (w/ respect to this choice of basis).

Proposition (essentially Bacher, De La Harpe, Nagnibeda)

For any graph G we have

$$\kappa(G)\cong \mathbb{Z}^g/\textit{im}\mathcal{L}^*.$$



$$\tilde{\partial} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \tilde{\partial}\tilde{\partial}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

ser $\tilde{\partial}$ has basis $\iota = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ so that $\mathcal{L}^*(G) = \iota^T \iota = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

ŀ

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ ◆□▶

Cycle chip-firing

After fixing a basis *ι* = {**f**₁,..., **f**_g}, the matrix *L*^{*} determines a potential 'chip-firing' rule for these elements.

Definition

For a graph G we say a basis $\iota = {\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_g}$ is a cycle M-basis if the matrix \mathcal{L}^* is an avalanche finite redistribution matrix.

 If G admits a cycle M-basis then we have a good notion of 'chip-firing' on the elements of ι, with notions of z-superstable configurations etc.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Easy cases

Proposition

If G is a planar graph then G admits a cycle M-basis

Embed G in the plane, orient the bounded regions consistently, and take the corresponding basis vectors.

Proposition

Both K_5 and $K_{3,3}$ admit cycle *M*-bases.



Main result

Theorem

Any graph G admits a cycle M-basis.

- ► FACT: if L is a square matrix with L_{ij} ≤ 0 for all i ≠ j then L is avalanche finite ⇔ real part eigenvalues of L are positive (in which case L is a called a (non-singular) M-matrix).
- Recall that L^{*} = ι^Tι and hence L^{*} is positive definite (recall that L^{*} is invertible.
- From this it follows that L* will have positive real eigenvalues. Hence enough to show that the off-diagonals are nonpositive.

Theorem

Suppose $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_g\}$ is an integral basis for a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then there exists an integral basis $\{\mathbf{f}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_g\}$ for Λ with the property that $\mathbf{f}_i \cdot \mathbf{f}_j \leq 0$ for all $i \neq j$. z-superstables and more things in bijection with au(G)

From [GK] we know each equivalence class contains a unique 'energy-minimizing' *z*-configuration.

Proposition

Suppose G has a cycle M-basis with associated dual Laplacian \mathcal{L}^* . Then the number of z-superstable configurations of G is given by $|\tau(G)|$, the number of spanning trees of G.

In the classical case the superstable configs have a lot to say about the graph (external activity, Tutte polynomial, etc.)

Interpretation here not so clear.

Example

► For the case of the graph K₅ (and our example of L* from above) we get 125 elements that form a 'multicomplex'



- The maximal elements are {000112,000211,010022,010210,010300,020021, 020040,020111,021020,021110,030101,100102, 101020,101110,130020,130110,200021,200111, 210020,210110,300020,310000}.
- ► The degree sequence is given by c = (1, 6, 19, 38, 39, 19, 3), where the number of z-superstable configurations of degree d − 1 is given by the entry c_d.

Circuit M-bases

- Although any graph admits a cycle *M*-basis the elements in our basis for ker õ can have lots of large integers.
- ► Want to find an *M*-basis consisting only of *circuits*: each entry should be 0, -1, 1, with the the nonzero entries corresponding to some circuit (simple closed path) of *G*.
- Such a basis will be called a *circuit* M-basis.

Proposition

Planar graphs as well as the graphs K_5 and $K_{3,3}$ admit circuit M-bases.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○

Further thoughts and open questions

- Main open question: Does any graph admit *circuit* M-basis? Perhaps for a class of graphs?
- Restrictions on the size of circuits in a circuit *M*-basis? (partial results)
- ► Find an explicit bijection between the set of z-superstable and the set τ(G) of spanning trees.
- What do the z-superstable configurations count?
 - In the case of classical chip-firing, the number of superstable configs of degree d are given by the number of spanning trees with d externally passive edges.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Notion of activity here?
- More general unimodular matroids?